



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,038	04/29/2008	David L. Kent	09063-8002.US03	5331
97075	7590	06/30/2011		
Perkins Coie LLP PO Box 1247 Seattle, WA 98111-1247			EXAMINER WALTHALL, ALLISON N	
			ART UNIT 2629	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/30/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentprocurement@perkinscoie.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/578,038	Applicant(s) KENT ET AL.	
	Examiner ALLISON WALTHALL	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-13, 15-17, 21-24, 29, 31-38, 41, 42, 44, 45, 79, 81, 83-87 and 91-93 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-13, 15-17, 21-24, 29, 31-38, 41, 42, 45, 79, 81, 83-87 and 91-93 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 44 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A (Figs 1-19) in the reply filed on June 3, 2011 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: the oath indicates foreign priority is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119 but does not list any foreign application proper under 119. The application listed is the PCT application that the present US application is a national stage entry of. Therefore the applicant may claim benefits for PCT/US2006/11757 under U.S.C. 371, not U.S.C. 119.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 91 and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya (US Patent 6,329,966) in view of Kimura (US Patent 6,798,649) and Watanabe (US Patent 5,513,036).

As to **claim 91**, Someya discloses a display device, comprising:

a display screen (25, Fig 7) comprising a fluorescent layer (6) that absorbs excitation light to emit visible light (see column 3, lines 34-45), a first layer (24) on a first side of the fluorescent layer operable to transmit the excitation light and to reflect the visible light (see column 4, lines 53-67 and Fig 8),

an array of lasers (11a, 11b, 11c) operable to produce laser beams of the excitation light, each laser beam carrying optical pulses that carry information on an image to be displayed (see column 3, lines 1-9 and column 6, lines 33-36) ;

a scanning module (4) positioned to receive the laser beams from the lasers and to scan the laser beams across the display screen to enter the display screen to reach the fluorescent layer (see column 3, lines 19-33);

a first reflector (30) and a second reflector (see column 5, lines 50-53) positioned to direct the scanning laser beams from the scanning module to the display screen in a folded optical path (see Fig 10 and column 5, lines 44-53); and

a feedback control mechanism (9) operable to control directions of the scanning laser beams from the scanning module (4) to adjust a timing of the optical pulses carried by each scanning laser beam, in response to a feedback control signal (signal from UV sensor) indicating a spatial alignment of the scanning laser beam on the display screen, to correct an error in the spatial alignment (see column 3, lines 46-59).

Someya teaches a lens (see Fig 4) on the first side of the fluorescent layer to direct the excitation light incident to the display screen at different angles to the fluorescent layer (see column 4, lines 11-23) but does not teach the lens is specifically a

Art Unit: 2629

Fresnel lens. Someya also does not teach the first layer comprises *a composite sheet of a plurality of dielectric layers*.

Watanabe, Fig 1, teaches a rear projection display, similar to that shown in Fig 10 of Someya, with a Fresnel lens (7, Fig 2) to direct light incident to a display screen at different angles to the screen (2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a Fresnel lens as taught by Watanabe to direct light to the fluorescent layer of Someya, in order to change the angle of the light provided to the screen.

Kimura teaches a first layer (126, Fig 19), similar to the filter 24 of Someya, operable to transmit excitation light (L) and to reflect visible light (M). Kimura teaches the first layer comprises a composite sheet of a plurality of dielectric layers (see column 1, line 41-column 2, line 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of dielectric layers as taught by Kimura in the filter of Someya as modified by Watanabe, in order to increase the utilization efficiency of fluorescent light (see Kimura column 2, lines 7-13).

As to **claim 92**, Someya teaches the fluorescent layer comprises different of fluorescent materials which absorb the excitation light to emit light at different visible wavelengths (red, green, and blue, see column 3, lines 42-45).

5. Claims 2, 4, 12, 16, 17, 31, 34, 35, 38, 79, and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma (US Patent 5,343,119).

As to **claim 79**, Someya discloses a display device, comprising:

a display screen (25, Fig 7) comprising a fluorescent layer (6) that absorbs excitation light to emit visible light (see column 3, lines 34-45), a first layer (24) on a first side of the fluorescent layer operable to transmit the excitation light and to reflect the visible light (see column 4, lines 53-67 and Fig 8),

an optical module (4) operable to produce scanning beams of the excitation light (15a, 15b, 15c) that scan across the display screen and positioned to direct the scanning beams of the excitation light to enter the display screen to reach the fluorescent layer (see column 3, lines 19-33), each scanning beam carrying optical pulses that carry information on an image to be displayed (see column 3, lines 5-19);

an optical sensing unit positioned to receive a portion of light and operable to produce a monitor signal indicating a spatial alignment of each scanning beam on the screen (see column 3, lines 46-59); and

a feedback control mechanism operable to receive the monitor signal and to control the optical module to adjust a timing of the optical pulses carried by each scanning beam in response to the monitor signal to correct a spatial alignment error of the scanning beam on the display screen indicated by the monitor signal (see column 3, lines 46-55).

Someya teaches a lens (see Fig 4) on the first side of the fluorescent layer to direct the excitation light incident to the display screen at different angles to the fluorescent layer (see column 4, lines 11-23) but does not teach the lens is specifically a *Fresnel lens*. Someya also does not teach the first layer comprises *a composite sheet of*

Art Unit: 2629

a plurality of dielectric layers and that the optical sensing unit receives a portion of light *from the screen*.

Watanabe, Fig 1, teaches a rear projection display, similar to that shown in Fig 10 of Someya, with a Fresnel lens (7, Fig 2) to direct light incident to a display screen at different angles to the screen (2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a Fresnel lens as taught by Watanabe to direct light to the fluorescent layer of Someya, in order to change the angle of the light provided to the screen.

Kimura teaches a first layer (126, Fig 19), similar to the filter 24 of Someya, operable to transmit excitation light (L) and to reflect visible light (M). Kimura teaches the first layer comprises a composite sheet of a plurality of dielectric layers (see column 1, line 41-column 2, line 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of dielectric layers as taught by Kimura in the filter of Someya as modified by Watanabe, in order to increase the utilization efficiency of fluorescent light (see Kimura column 2, lines 7-13).

Takuma teaches a display with a scanning beam which excites fluorescent materials on a screen, similar to the operation of Someya. Takuma teaches an optical sensing unit (2) receiving a portion of light from the screen (see column 1, lines 37-50 and column 6, lines 18-23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an optical sensing unit that receives a portion of light from the screen as taught by Takuma instead of receiving light

Art Unit: 2629

from the scanning mechanism as taught by Someya, with the predictable result of detecting when an emits visible light.

As to **claim 85**, Someya teaches the fluorescent layer comprises different fluorescent regions that emit light of different colors (red, green, and blue, see column 3, lines 35-37), and a boundary of two adjacent different fluorescent regions is either optically reflective or optical absorbent (black is absorbent, see column 3, line 37).

As to **claim 2**, Someya teaches the fluorescent layer comprises a phosphor material (see column 3, lines 35-37).

As to **claim 4**, Someya teaches the phosphor material absorbs excitation light at an ultra violet wavelength (see column 3, lines 35-45).

As to **claim 12**, Someya teaches the fluorescent layer comprises a plurality of different of fluorescent materials which absorb the excitation light to emit light at different visible wavelengths (red, green, and blue, see column 3, lines 35-45).

As to **claim 16**, Kimura teaches the first layer includes a stack of dielectric layers of at least two different dielectric materials (see column 1, lines 47-52).

As to **claim 17**, Kimura teaches the first layer is a multi-layer interference filter (see column 1, lines 47-52).

As to **claim 31**, Kimura teaches the dielectric layers comprise alternating high and low index dielectric layers (see column 1, lines 47-52).

As to **claim 34**, Someya teaches the fluorescent layer is patterned to have different fluorescent regions with different fluorescence materials (see column 3, lines 35-37).

As to **claim 35**, Someya teaches the fluorescent layer is patterned to further comprise non-fluorescent regions (6d) without a fluorescent material to directly display light of the optical excitation beam (black matrix, see Fig 3).

As to **claim 38**, Someya teaches each fluorescent region (6a-6c) includes a boundary that is optically absorbent (6d is between each region, black is absorbent).

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Ratna (US Patent 6,576,156).

As to **claim 3**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 2, but do not teach the fluorescent materials comprise nanoscale phosphor grains. Ratna teaches using nanoscale phosphor grains (see column 7, lines 55-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use nanoscale phosphor grains, as taught by Ratna, as the phosphors of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, in order to provide a high resolution display.

7. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, as applied to claim 79 above, and further in view of Gibeau (US Patent 5,715,021).

As to **claim 42**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 79, but do not specifically teach the laser module comprises a modulation control

Art Unit: 2629

which combines a pulse code modulation and a pulse width modulation to modulate the laser beam to produce image grey scales.

Gibeau (figure 1) teaches the laser module (200) comprises a modulation control which combines a pulse code modulation (see column 20, line 48-column 21, line 37) and a pulse width modulation (e.g. 228,230, 232) to modulate the laser beam to produce image grey scales (see column 4, line 62-column 5, line 12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use pulse code modulation and pulse width modulation for optical pulses of the beam as taught by Gibeau instead of acousto-optic modulation of the optical beam of Someya, because the laser diodes can be turned on and off at high rates (see Gibeau column 4, line 62-column 5, line 5 and Someya column 6, lines 15-21).

8. Claims 5-7, 9-11, 13, 21-24, 81, 86, and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma as applied to claim 79 above, and further in view of Okajima (US Patent 5,473,396).

As to **claim 81**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 79, but do not specifically teach the fluorescent layer comprises a plurality of parallel phosphor *stripes spaced from one another*. Okajima teaches a fluorescent layer which emits visible light when excited by UV rays, similar to Someya, wherein the fluorescent layer comprises a plurality parallel phosphor stripes spaced from one another (see Fig 3 and column 10, lines 48-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use parallel stripes

Art Unit: 2629

as taught by Okajima instead of dots in the device of Someya, with the predictable result of providing pixels comprising red, green, and blue.

As to **claim 21**, Okajima teaches the fluorescent layer comprises a plurality of parallel phosphor stripes, wherein at least three adjacent phosphor stripes are made of three different phosphors: a first phosphor to absorb the excitation light to emit light of a first color, a second phosphor to absorb the excitation light to emit light of a second color, and a third phosphor to absorb the excitation light to emit light of a third color (see column 10, line 60-column 11, line 7).

As to **claim 22**, Someya teaches the phosphors absorb excitation light at an ultraviolet wavelength (UV).

As to **claims 5 and 23**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 2, but do not teach the phosphor material absorbs excitation light at a violet wavelength (see column 6, lines 28-31, wherein it is well known in the art that a violet wavelength is in a range of about 380nm-450nm). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to absorb excitation light at a violet wavelength as taught by Okajima, in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, with the predictable result of emitting visible light when excited by the excitation light.

As to **claims 6 and 24**, Okajima teaches the phosphor material absorbs excitation light at a wavelength less than 420 nm (see column 6, lines 28-31).

As to **claim 7**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 2, but do not teach the fluorescent layer comprises a non- phosphor fluorescent

Art Unit: 2629

material. Okajima teaches the fluorescent layer comprises a non-phosphor fluorescent material (i.e. any fluorescent material; see column 11, lines 4-7). As Okajima teaches any fluorescent material may be used, it would have been obvious to use non-phosphor fluorescent material instead of the phosphor dots of Someya, with the predictable result of emitting red, green, and blue visible light.

As to **claim 9**, Okajima teaches the non-phosphor fluorescent material absorbs excitation light at an ultra violet wavelength (UV, see abstract).

As to **claim 10**, Okajima teaches the non-phosphor fluorescent material absorbs excitation light at a violet wavelength (see column 6, lines 28-31, wherein it is well known in the art that a violet wavelength is in a range of about 380nm-450nm).

As to **claim 11**, Okajima teaches the non-phosphor fluorescent material absorbs excitation light at a wavelength less than 420 nm (see column 6, lines 28-31).

As to **claim 13**, Okajima teaches the fluorescent layer is patterned into parallel stripes, and wherein at least two adjacent stripes have at least two different fluorescent materials that emit light at two different visible wavelengths, respectively (see column 11, lines 1-7).

As to **claim 86**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 79, but do not teach the screen further comprises a second layer on a second side of the fluorescent layer to transmit visible light and to block the excitation light. Okajima teaches the screen further comprises a second layer on a second side of the fluorescent layer to transmit visible light and to block the excitation light (see column 6, lines 32-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2629

time the invention was made to include a second layer on a second side of the fluorescent layer to transmit visible light and block excitation light as taught by Okajima in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma in order to improve the light emission efficiency.

As to **claim 87**, Okajima teaches the second layer filter. Kimura teaches a similar filter which comprises a composite sheet of a plurality of dielectric layers (see column 1, line 41-column 2, line 6). Therefore as combined, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the second layer as taught by Okajima as a composite sheet of a plurality of dielectric layers, as taught by Kimura to filter desired wavelengths.

9. Claim 93 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura and Watanabe as applied to claim 91 above, and further in view of Okajima.

As to **claim 93**, Someya, Kimura, and Watanabe teach the device as in claim 91, but do not teach the fluorescent layer is patterned into parallel stripes, wherein at least two adjacent stripes have at least two different fluorescent materials that emit light at two different visible wavelengths. Okajima teaches the fluorescent layer is patterned into parallel stripes, and wherein at least two adjacent stripes have at least two different fluorescent materials that emit light at two different visible wavelengths, respectively (see column 11, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use parallel stripes as taught by Okajima

Art Unit: 2629

instead of dots in the device of Someya, with the predictable result of providing pixels comprising red, green, and blue.

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma, and Okajima, as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Beeson (US Publication 2005/0280785).

As to **claim 8**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma, and Okajima teach the device as in claim 7, but do not teach the fluorescent materials comprise quantum dots. Beeson teaches fluorescent materials comprise quantum dots (see [0047]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use quantum dot materials for the phosphor dots of Someya, yielding the predictable result of converting ultraviolet light to colored light.

11. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma, and Okajima as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Kaplan (US Patent 3,114,065).

As to **claim 29**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma and Okajima teach the device as in claim 21, but do not teach a first optical absorbent material mixed in the first phosphor that absorbs light of the second and third colors and transmits light of the first color; a second optical absorbent material mixed in the second phosphor that absorbs light of the first and third colors and transmits light of the second color; and

Art Unit: 2629

a third optical absorbent material mixed in the third phosphor that absorbs light of the first and second colors and transmits light of the third color.

Kaplan teaches a first optical absorbent material mixed in the first phosphor that absorbs light of the second and third colors and transmits light of the first color; a second optical absorbent material mixed in the second phosphor that absorbs light of the first and third colors and transmits light of the second color; and a third optical absorbent material mixed in the third phosphor that absorbs light of the first and second colors and transmits light of the third color (i.e. the filter and luminescent materials may be intermixed with each other; see column 1, line 63-column 2, line 49). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide optically absorbent materials mixed in the phosphors as taught by Kaplan, in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma and Okajima, in order to improve the contrast of the display.

12. Claims 36 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, as applied to claims 34 and 79 above, and further in view of Okajima and Kaplan.

As to **claim 36**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 34, but do not teach the screen further comprises: a second layer on a second side of the fluorescent layer to transmit the visible light and to block the excitation light; and a contrast enhancing layer formed over the second layer to comprise a plurality different filtering regions that spatially match the fluorescent regions, wherein each

Art Unit: 2629

filtering region transmits light of a color that is emitted by a corresponding matching fluorescent region and blocks light of other colors.

Okajima teaches the screen further comprises a second layer on a second side of the fluorescent layer to transmit visible light and to block the excitation light (see column 6, lines 32-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second layer on a second side of the fluorescent layer to transmit visible light and block excitation light as taught by Okajima in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, in order to improve the light emission efficiency.

Kaplan teaches a contrast enhancing layer to comprise a plurality different filtering regions that spatially match the fluorescent regions, wherein each filtering region transmits light of a color that is emitted by a corresponding matching fluorescent region and blocks light of other colors (see column 1, line 63-column 2, line 49). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the filter of Kaplan, over the second layer of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma, and Okajima, in order to improve the contrast of the display.

As to **claim 41**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 79, but do not teach the fluorescent layer comprises a plurality of parallel fluorescent *stripes*, each fluorescent stripe to absorb the excitation light to emit light of a designated color, the device further comprising: a contrast enhancing layer positioned relative to the fluorescent layer so that the fluorescent layer is placed at a position

Art Unit: 2629

between the contrast enhancing layer and the first layer, wherein the contrast enhancing layer comprises a plurality of different filtering stripes that spatially match the fluorescent stripes, where each filtering stripe transmits light of a color that is emitted by a corresponding matching fluorescent stripe and blocks light of other colors.

Okajima teaches the fluorescent layer comprises a plurality of parallel fluorescent *stripes*, each fluorescent stripe to absorb the excitation light to emit light of a designated color (see Fig 3 and column 10, lines 48-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use parallel stripes as taught by Okajima instead of dots in the device of Someya, with the predictable result of providing pixels comprising red, green, and blue.

Kaplan teaches a contrast enhancing layer positioned closer to a viewing position relative to the fluorescent layer, wherein the contrast enhancing layer comprises a plurality of different filtering areas that spatially match the fluorescent areas, where each filtering area transmits light of a color that is emitted by a corresponding matching fluorescent area and blocks light of other colors (see column 1, line 63-column 2, line 49). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include a contrast enhancing layer as taught by Kaplan so that the fluorescent layer is placed at a position between the contrast enhancing layer (viewing side) and the first layer (side the excitation light comes from), in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, Takuma, and Okajima, in order to improve the contrast of the display.

13. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma as applied to claim 79 above, and further in view of Okazaki (US Patent 6,900,916).

As to **claim 45**, Someya teaches the optical module includes a polygon to scan each beam in a first direction and a mirror to scan each beam in a second direction (see column 3, lines 19-30) but does not specifically teach the polygon having reflective facets to rotate around a first rotation axis to scan each beam on the screen in a direction perpendicular to the first rotation axis; a scanning mirror to pivot around a second rotation axis perpendicular to the first rotation axis to scan each beam on the screen in a direction parallel to the first rotation axis; and a beam adjustment mechanism operable to change at least one of a position and a beam pointing of the each beam along the first rotation axis to control a position of each beam on the screen along the first rotation axis.

Okazaki teaches (Fig 2) the polygon (18) having reflective facets to rotate around a first rotation axis to scan each beam on the screen in a direction perpendicular to the first rotation axis (i.e. horizontal); a scanning mirror (16) to pivot around a second rotation axis perpendicular to the first rotation axis to scan each beam on the screen in a direction parallel to the first rotation axis (vertical); and a beam adjustment mechanism (14) operable to change at least one of a position and a beam pointing of the each beam along the first rotation axis to control a position of each beam on the screen along the first rotation axis (see column 5, lines 12-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the

Art Unit: 2629

polygon, scanning mirror, and beam adjustment mechanism taught by Okazaki in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, in order to complete 2D scanning of the entire display.

14. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, as applied to claim 79 above, and further in view of Yamagishi (US Patent 6,771,419).

As to **claim 15**, Watanabe teaches the Fresnel lens of claim 79, but does not teach the Fresnel lens is in a telecentric configuration for the incident excitation light. Yamagishi teaches a rear projection device wherein the Fresnel lens is in a telecentric configuration for incident projected light (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Fresnel lens of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, in a telecentric configuration for incident excitation light as taught by Yamagishi, in order to increase visibility without a decrease in light utilization efficiency (see abstract).

15. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, as applied to claim 34 above, and further in view of Bottorf (US Patent 5,267,062).

As to **claim 37**, Someya, Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma teach the device as in claim 34, but do not teach each fluorescent region includes a boundary that is optically reflective. Bottorf teaches a fluorescent screen for emitting visible light when stimulated

Art Unit: 2629

with UV light. Bottorf teaches each fluorescent region includes a boundary that is optically reflective (see Fig 3 and column 3, lines 11-19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide optically reflective boundaries as taught by Bottorf in the device of Someya as modified by Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, in order to improve the efficiency of the UV source.

16. Claims 32, 33, 83, and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Someya, in view of Kimura, Watanabe, and Takuma, as applied to claims 16 and 79 above, and further in view of Johnson (US Patent 5,258,872).

As to **claims 32 and 83**, Kimura teaches the dielectric layers of claims 16 and 79, but does not teach the dielectric layers are polymeric materials. Johnson teaches an optical filter comprising a film of polymeric materials (see column 3, lines 2-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the dielectric layers of Kimura of polymeric materials as taught by Johnson, in order to reject the desired wavelength of light.

As to **claims 33 and 84**, Johnson teaches the dielectric layers are polyester materials (see column 3, lines 2-13).

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claim 44 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Inquiry

Art Unit: 2629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALLISON WALTHALL whose telephone number is (571)270-3571. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

anw
June 22, 2011

/CHANH NGUYEN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2629